

Net Zero Teesside – Environmental Statement

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN010103

Volume III – Appendices

Appendix 24B: Assessment of Cumulative Effects Stages 1-3

The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (as amended)







Appendix 24B: Assessment of Cumulative Effects Stages 1-3

Table 24B-1: Assessment of Cumulative Effects Stages 1-3. To be viewed in conjunction with Table 24A-1: Planned Developments and Development Allocations within the Search Area (ES Volume III, Appendix 24A). 'NS' = Not Shown (on Figures 24-2 or 24-3 – reason included in Table 24A-1). Zol = Zone of Influence. ES = Environmental Statement. EAR = Environmental Assessment Report. 'Major Development' defined in accordance with the definitions and thresholds set out in The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.

	DEV									STAG	E 1: V	VITHIN	V ZOI?	(Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
	Ε.	Traff relat					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
1 (1	IS)								Unkn	own -	applic	cation	not ye	t submitted								Y	Y	Y	Application not yet submitted	~		Y	Major development, of scale which may result in significant effects. Considered relevant to marine ecology and socioeconomics and tourism (shipping and navigation) only - scoped out by all other technical disciplines.
2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Consented but construction not yet started. To ensure a worst case for assessment, assume that construction of the Harbour facilities will take place during the peak month of construction associated with the NZT Project. Linked to IDs 26, 27, 70 and 71 (York Potash).	Y	Major Development (winning/ working of minerals), adjacent to Site, ES submitted, of scale which may result in significant effects.
3		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Construction beginning in 2019 and operation in 2022 - plant should be operational prior to NZT construction beginning. 3.9km from PCC Site. Operational emissions to be considered.	Y	Major development, ES submitted, of scale which may result in significant effects.



										STAG	E 1: V	VITHIN	I ZOI?	Y (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	E 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
		raffic elate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	e	Wate Geole		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	<u> </u>	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
4	N	1 1	N	Z	~	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Z	~	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Main elements are offshore. To ensure a worst case for assessment, assume that construction of the Harbour facilities will take place during the peak month of construction associated with the NZT Project.	Υ	Major development, ES submitted, overlap in construction periods, of scale which may result in significant effects.
5	N	1 1	N	Z	~	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Z	~	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Planning Statement states: "The current proposal is largely identical to the approved scheme, with the exception of a number of minor detail changes to the approved house typesAll proposed works largely remain as previously approved, but with alterations to the approved house type designs." ES available – resubmitted previous ES (2013) with application. Small scale – proposed 188 dwellings.	N	Remote from the Site (9.3 km from PCC Site), not within the ZoI for construction traffic and not likely to result in any other non-traffic related cumulative effects.
6	Y	, ,	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Included in committed developments in Transport Assessment (TA). Scale – 550 dwellings.	N	No ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted with application. This development has been included in the future baseline for traffic and is therefore not considered separately in relation to (traffic related) cumulative effects.



	DEV									STAG	SE 1: \	VITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	E 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
	四日	Traff relat					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	WENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
7		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Y	Y	N	Unknown	This is a reserved matters application. Development has been considered with reference to the outline planning permission (R/2016/0326/OOM (see ID 18)) and excluded from consideration of traffic related cumulative effects. Scale – 400 dwellings.	N	Remote from the Site (>7km from PCC Site), no ES/EAR/scoping submitted; See ID 18 (previous outline application) below
8		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Unknown	Not within traffic Zol. Small scale – proposed 126 dwellings. Linked to ID 15.	N	Nature and location of development such that cumulative effects not likely. No EIA Scoping Report or ES for this development.
9		N	Υ	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Just within Zol for traffic related noise; no construction traffic data available but considered unlikely to generate substantial volumes of construction traffic.	N	No EIA Scoping Report or ES available. Scale of development and distance from Proposed Development such that significant cumulative effects are considered unlikely.
10		Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Transport impact considered to be insignificant as no TA/TS submitted in support of application. Scale and nature of development - erection of 17 industrial units with new vehicular access and associated parking.	N	Major development - site area > 1 ha Transport impacts considered unlikely to be substantial as no TA submitted. Nature of development and distance from the Proposed Development are such that other non-traffic related cumulative effects are unlikely. No EIA Scoping or ES submitted.
11		N	N	Ν	Υ	N	Y	N	Υ	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Z	N	Unkno wn	Transport impact considered to be insignificant as no TA/TS	N	Not major development; no scoping or ES submitted

Prepared for: Net Zero Teesside Power Ltd. & Net Zero North Sea Storage Ltd.



	DEV									STAG	E 1: V	VITHIN	V ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	6E 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
	ELOP	Traffi relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						GRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
																											submitted in support of application. Small scale – site area < 1 ha.		
12		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Construction should be complete and site operational before construction of Proposed Development. This development has been included as a committed development within the TA and therefore forms part of the baseline for the traffic -related assessments (construction).	N	Development already in existence/ expected to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction therefore forms part of the baseline. Major development - winning/ working of minerals
13		N	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Planning Statement states: "The application is supported by air quality, noise, flood risk and Phase 1 reports which assess the proposal and conclude that any potential impacts are acceptable subject to appropriate mitigation where necessary." Site area covers <2 ha.	Y	Major development - site area > 1 ha, waste development Presumed overlap in construction as a worst-case. Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
14		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Small scale proposal - 51 residential units, site area covers <2 ha.	N	Remote from Site, no EIA scoping or ES submitted. Nature and scale of development considered unlikely to result in significant cumulative effects with the Proposed Development.



	DEV									STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	V ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	E 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
	₽ '	Traff relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						GRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
15		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Linked to ID 8. Remote from Site. Small scale – 126 dwellings.	N	Remote from Site, no EIA scoping, EAR or ES submitted
16		N	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Overlap in construction periods	Y	Major development; ES submitted with application; overlap in construction periods. Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
17		Y	Υ	Y	~	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Z	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Unknown	Progressed to Stage 3/4 for Traffic Scale – 550 dwellings Related to ID 6 reserved matters app.	Y	Major development; environmental information submitted with application; of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
18		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	Unknown	Assume overlap in temporal scope (construction) for worst case. Remote from the Site (>7km from PCC Site) Scale – 400 dwellings Note: ID 7 = subsequent reserved matters application	N	Remote from Site, no EIA scoping or ES submitted, therefore significant cumulative effects considered unlikely.
19	,	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Assume overlap in temporal scope (construction) for worst case, however, construction should be complete by early 2022 if timescales are as described.	N	No ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted with application.



	DE									STAG	SE 1: V	NITHIN	N ZOI?	Y (Y/N)								PR				STAG	E 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
	DEVELOPMENT	Traff relat					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geole			se & ation	PROGRES						OGRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
20)	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	The construction period should be complete by Nov 2021. Once installed, there is minimal on-site activity required (only monthly inspections and bi-annual servicing) - minimal disturbance. Site area <1 ha i.e. small scale.	N	Not major development; no EIA scoping, EAR or ES submitted; no overlap in construction periods (should be complete by 2021)
2	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Y	Similar to development ID 19 and ID 29 - superseded by ID 19.	N	See ID 19
2:	2	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Unknown		N	Remote from the Site (>7km from PCC Site). No EIA Scoping, EAR or ES submitted; nature and scale of development not likely to result in significant cumulative effects with Proposed Development.
2; (N	IS)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Unknown	Unknown	No details available yet	N	No Scoping Report, EAR or ES submitted with application.
24	1	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		N	N	Unknown	Remote from PCC Site Small extension to existing car park – small scale	N	Not major development; no EIA scoping, EAR or ES submitted. Nature and scale of development such that it is unlikely to result in significant cumulative effects with Proposed Development.



	DEV								STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	I ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	E 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
	Tr	affic- lated				Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	He	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	MENT ID	N O	lerrestrial Ecology zkm	Ecology	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
25	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	>3km from PCC Site Related to ID 28 - slightly different RLBs and Planning Statement states "Planning permission (R/2017/0730/FFM (ID 28) was granted for a Plastic Conversion Facility and associated infrastructure on the former Invista chemical plant at the Wilton site in January 2018. This proposal is for a similar PCF to that granted."	N	No EIA scoping / ES submitted; over 3km from the PCC Site. Nature and scale of development not likely to result in significant cumulative effects with Proposed Development.
26	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Scale/ nature – proposal for refurbishment of existing Site/ previously developed land Linked to IDs 2, 27, 70 and 71 (York Potash).	N	No scoping, EAR or ES submitted, small scale, 1.9 km from PCC Site
27	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Unknown	Proximity to proposed development (adjacent to it). Linked to IDs 2, 26, 70 and 71 (York Potash).	Y	Major Development (winning/ working of minerals), adjacent to Site, ES submitted. Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
28	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y?	>3km from PCC Site Related to ID 25 above but not superseded by it - different RLBs	N	No scoping, EAR or ES submitted; over 3km from the PCC Site
29	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Y?	Similar to development ID 19 and ID 21 - superseded by ID 19.	N	See ID 19



	ק ק								STAG	E 1: V	VITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	EE 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
		raffic- elated				Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	MENT ID	N Z	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Ecology	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
30	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Z	N	Unkn own	Small scale (<1 ha)	N	Not major development; no EIA scoping or ES submitted
31	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y?	Overlap in construction periods? To ensure a worst case for assessment, we have assumed that construction of the Harbour facilities will take place during the peak month of construction associated with the NZT Project. Close to PCC Site.	Y	Possible overlap in construction periods; close to PCC Site; Environmental Report submitted with application. Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
32	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Construction period scheduled to be complete.	N	Developments already in existence/ expected to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction should form part of the baseline; no scoping, EAR or ES submitted.
33	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Unknown		N	Not major development; no EIA scoping, EAR or ES submitted
34	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown	Assume overlap in temporal scope (construction) for worst case. 89 dwellings, relatively small scale	N	Remote from the Site (>7km from PCC), no EIA scoping, EAR or ES submitted. Nature, scale and location unlikely to result in significant cumulative effects with Proposed Development.



									STAG	SE 1: V	NITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
	ti Tı	affic- lated				Ecol	logy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						GRES	
	HENT ID	N N	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Ecology	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
35	N	N	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Y?	Potential overlap in construction periods	N	Remote from the Site (6.9km from PCC), no EIA scoping,
																										106 dwellings, relatively small scale		EAR or ES submitted. Scale, nature and location unlikely to result in significant cumulative effects with Proposed Development.
36	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Potential overlap in construction periods. Planning Statement states: "The ES demonstrates that the GEC would not result in any unacceptable adverse impacts in relation to landscape character, visual considerations, ecology, noise, air quality, human health, ground conditions, flood risk or heritage."	Y	Remote from PCC Site (~5.4 km) but within Zol for air quality and landscape; major development; potential overlap in construction periods. Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
37	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Scale - 1200 homes, > 118ha. Overlap in construction periods but outside Zol for construction traffic for Proposed Development.	N	Remote from Site - ~10km from PCC. Not likely to result in cumulative effects either during construction or operation of the Proposed Development.
38	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	N	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	N	Y	N	Unknow n		N	No Scoping Report, EAR or ES submitted with application.
39	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	N	Y	N	N	Site area of 1.5 ha - small area. Construction scheduled to be complete according to planning documentation.	N	Developments already in existence/ expected to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction should form part of the baseline.



	DEV									STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
	₽ .	Traff relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	He	eritag	е	Wate Geole		Nois Vibra		OGRES						GRES	
	WENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 27	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
40		N	N	Y	~	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	~	Y	Υ	Y	Z	Υ	N	N	Construction scheduled to be complete according to planning documentation. EIA not required but a 'non-statutory environmental assessment' submitted	N	Developments already in existence/ expected to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction should form part of the baseline.
41		N	N	Y	~	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Z	Z	Y	Υ	Z	Υ	Y	N	Construction scheduled to be complete according to planning documentation.	N	Developments already in existence/ expected to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction should form part of the baseline. Major development - waste
42		N	N	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	N	Unknown		N	Remote from the Site - ~9.5km from PCC Site No Scoping, EAR or ES submitted with application.
43		Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Y	Υ	N	Unknown	Linked to ID 67.	N	No Scoping Report, EAR or ES submitted with application.



	ם דו									STAG	SE 1: V	NITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	6E 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
		Traffi relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	5	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	SS TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
44	1	Ζ	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Unknown		N	Remote from the Site - ~9.3km from PCC Site No clear, identified programme for delivery. Land allocations on their own have not been considered as there is no certainty that developers will come forward with projects within the timescale for the delivery of these sites, and the nature for such projects and their associated environmental effects are currently unknown
45	1	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Remote from the Site - ~8.2km from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44) Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
46	1	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Remote from the Site - ~7.4km from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44) Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.



	DE									STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	V ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
		Traff relat					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	SS TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	SS TO STAGE 3/4?	
47		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Y	N	Unknown		N	Remote from the Site - ~6.5km from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44) Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
48	1	N	N	Z	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
49		N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Unknown	Development of 86 houses - relatively small development. No further details available yet.	N	Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
50		N	N	Z	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
51		N	N	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Re	fer to d	evelop	ment IDs 6 and 17 above	Υ	Refer to development ID 17 above.



	DEV									STAG	E 1: \	NITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
	ш	Traff relat					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						ROGRES	
	LOPMENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
52	2	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Υ		Y	N	N	Development anticipated to be complete between 2016 and 2021.	N	Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44), and development should be constructed prior to construction of NZT. Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
53	3	N	N	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Υ		Y	N	N	Construction should be complete - scheduled to be completed in 2016.	N	Close to PCC Site but development should be complete prior to NZT construction of the Proposed Development. Developments already in existence/ expected to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction should form part of the baseline. Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
54 (N	IS)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Unknown	Υ	N	Unknown	The exact locations of the proposed facilities are not available - only a very large area labelled 'MWC8 - general location for large waste management facilities'	N	Close to PCC Site, but land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
55	5	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ		Y	N	Y		N	Remote from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.

Prepared for: Net Zero Teesside Power Ltd. & Net Zero North Sea Storage Ltd.



	DEV									STAG	6E 1: V	VITHIN	N ZOI	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAC	GE 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
	₽	Traffi relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						ROGRES	
	WENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 27	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
56	6	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Y	N	N		N	No overlap in construction periods, remote from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
57	7	N	N	Z	~	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Z	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		~	Z	N		N	No overlap in construction periods, remote from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
58	3	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Υ	N	Y		N	Remote from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available. Note: linked to ID 61 allocation, below.
59		N	N	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Y	Υ	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Remote from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.



	DEV									STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	V ZOI	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	BE 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
	<u> </u>	raffic elate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol	er & ogy	Nois Vibra		OGRES						GRES	
	₹	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
60		l I	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Υ	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Remote from PCC Site and land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
61		J I	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Y	N	Unknown	Planning permission for this Site may have been granted - Publication Local Plan states planning status as "planning permission not under construction" Delivery between 2019 - 2023. Nothing relevant on Middlesbrough planning application search (checked 20/01/21).	N	Remote from PCC Site. Note: linked to ID 58 allocation, above. Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
62	,	,	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
63		N I	N	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Υ	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Υ	N	Unknown		N	Adjacent to ID 36 RLB but not covering it. Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.



	DE									STAG	SE 1: \	WITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								P				STAC	GE 2	P	Comments/ Justification
	/ELOP	Traff relat					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	je	Wate Geol			se & ation	PROGRES						ROGRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 27	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
64		Z	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Y	N	Unknown		N	Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
65		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Y	N	Unknown		N	Adjacent to ID 36 RLB but not covering it. Land allocations on their own have not been considered - see detailed comment above (ID 44). Allocation (not a planning application) so no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
				l	I	l	1		1	I	1	ı		All	entrie	s bel	ow th	is poin	t are	from	Stage	2 Cc	nsultatio	n	I				
66		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Unknown	Proximity to Site (adjacent to it). Potential for remediation works to take overlap with some of the construction works for the Proposed Development.	Y	Major development, ES submitted with application. There is potential for the remediation works to overlap with the construction of the Proposed Development, resulting in potential cumulative impacts associated dust, noise, visual impacts and traffic-related impacts.
67		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Large scale - 1250 dwellings, covering large area. Assume overlap in construction period for worst case. Planning Statement states: "the proposals will not result in unacceptable	N	Given the nature of the development (residential) and its relative distance from the Proposed Development, significant cumulat8ve effects are considered unlikely.



	DEV									STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	I ZOI?	Y (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
	四日	Traffi relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate	r & ogy	Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	SS TO STAGE 3/4?	
																											levels of soil, air, water, or noise pollution". Note: linked to ID 43 - on Redcar and Cleveland Allocated Site for housing.		
68		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Construction scheduled to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction according to planning documentation, however this is not the case - they've experienced delays and the plant is now scheduled to become operational on 10/02/2021. This development has been considered under the assumption that construction could resume at any time (i.e. worst case = overlap in construction periods). Major development, ES submitted with application, development of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
69		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Υ	Y	Unknown	Small scale. Construction scheduled to be complete according to planning documentation - but application to be superseded by ID 79 (not yet submitted).	N	Application superseded by development ID 79 (not yet submitted).



	ם ח								STAG	E 1: V	VITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
		raffic- elated				Eco	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geole		Nois Vibra		OGRES						GRES	
	5 5	Traffic Noise Traffic Air Quality	Tellesulal Ecology ZVIII	Ecology	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
70	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	N	Y	Y	Υ	Y	N	Y	Υ	N	Y	Y	N	Υ	Υ	Unknown	Linked to IDs 2, 26, 27 and 71 (York Potash).	N	Major development (winning/working of minerals), ES submitted, in close proximity to Site but: variation of Condition (minor amendments)
71	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\	Z	Y	Y	Y	Y	Z	Y	~	N	Y	Y	Y	~	Y	Y?	Assume overlap in construction period for worst case. Linked to IDs 2, 26, 27 and 70 (York Potash).	Y	Major development (winning/working of minerals), ES submitted, in close proximity to Site, possible overlap in construction periods. Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
72	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Unknown	Small scale	N	Not major development; no EIA scoping, EAR or ES submitted
73	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Overlap in construction periods, remote from PCC Site but within several Zols, including Zol for landscape and visual impacts.	Υ	Overlap in construction periods, remote from PCC Site but within several Zols, including Zol for landscape and visual impacts. Major development with potential for landscape and visual effects.
74	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Unknown	Small scale	N	No Scoping Report, EAR or ES submitted. Not in close proximity to Site and nature of the development is such that significant cumulative effects with Proposed Development are unlikely.



									STAC	3E 1: V	WITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAC	GE 2	P	Comments/ Justification
	Tra	ffic- ated				Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol			se & ation	OGRES						OGRES	
	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
75	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Unknown		N	No Scoping Report, EAR or ES submitted. Not in close proximity to Site and nature of the development is such that significant cumulative effects with Proposed Development are unlikely.
76	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	~	N	Unknown		N	No Scoping Report, EAR or ES submitted. Not in close proximity to Site. Nature of the development - demolition impacts short term, minimal impacts related to remediation.
77	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Overlap in construction periods and in close proximity to PCC Site.	Y	Overlap in construction periods and in close proximity to PCC Site. Major development, ES submitted. Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
78	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Major development, ES submitted. Construction scheduled to be completed prior to Proposed Development construction according to planning documentation, however this is not the case - construction began in 2015, but at present operations are mothballed. This development is considered under the assumption that construction could resume at any time (i.e. worst case = overlap in construction periods).



	DE									STAG	E 1: V	VITHIN	V ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PR				STAC	GE 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
		Traffi relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate	r & ogy	Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
																													Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
79		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Unknown	Y	Unknown	Linked to ID 69 above (Outline application). 2006 ES to be resubmitted with a Supplementary Environmental Information Report (application not yet submitted).	Y	Major development. ES to be submitted (but not available yet). Of scale/ nature which may result in significant effects.
80		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		N	Application not yet submitted i.e. no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available. Remote from Site. A planning application for this development will only follow the granting of the Proposed Development.
81		N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Υ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		N	Application not yet submitted i.e. no Scoping Report, EAR or ES available. Remote from Site. A planning application for this development will only follow the granting of the Proposed Development.



	DE									STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PRO	Comments/ Justification
		Traffi relate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	je	Wate		Nois Vibra		OGRES						GRES	
	MENT ID	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
82		Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Overlap in construction periods likely. 3.9km away from the PCC Site.	N	No Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
83		N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Major development, overlap in construction periods, ES submitted. To be considered in context of other STDC (South Tees Development Corporation) developments.
84		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	No overlap in construction periods.	Y	Major development, ES submitted. To be considered in context of other STDC developments.
85		N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Major development, overlap in construction periods, ES submitted. To be considered in context of other STDC developments.
86		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Major development, overlap in construction periods, ES submitted. To be considered in context of other STDC developments.
87		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Major development, overlap in construction periods, ES submitted. To be considered in context of other STDC developments.



	ם ק									STAG	SE 1: V	VITHIN	N ZOI?	? (Y/N)								PRO				STAG	SE 2	PR	Comments/ Justification
		raffic elate					Ecol	ogy				Ai Qua		Landscape	Н	eritag	е	Wate Geol		Nois Vibra		OGRES						OGRES	
	5	Traffic Air Quality	Traffic Noise	Terrestrial Ecology 2km	Terrestrial Ecology 15km	Aquatic Ecology 2km	Aquatic Ecology 15km	Ornithology 2km	Ornithology 15km	Marine Ecology 10km	Marine Ecology 15km	Construction	Operational	Landscape & Visual Amenity	Designated Assets	Non-Designated Assets	Marine Heritage	Hydrology & Water Resources	Geology & Hydrogeology	Construction Vibration	Noise	S TO STAGE 2?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant effect? (Y/N)	Major Development (Y/N?)	ES/ EAR/ Scoping Report submitted? (Y/N)	Overlap in Temporal Scope (Construction only)? (Y/N)	Other Factors	S TO STAGE 3/4?	
88 (N:	S)		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Υ	Z	Unknown	Relatively remote from the Site	N	Non-Material Changes to ID 4 (scoped in to short list and assessed). No ES/EAR/Scoping Report submitted.
89 (N		1 1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				N/A	A	N	Very remote from Site (~80km). Outside all Zols.
90	`		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Unknown	Proximity to Site (adjacent to it). Potential for remediation works to take overlap with some of the construction works for the Proposed Development. Application is to vary a condition (attached to ID 66 permission)		Minor alteration to approved scheme (ID 66). No Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.
91			Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Unknown	Proximity to Site (adjacent to it). Potential for remediation works to take overlap with some of the construction works for the Proposed Development. Application is to vary a condition (attached to ID 90 permission)	N	Minor alteration to approved scheme (ID 90). No Scoping Report, EAR or ES available.